



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Bernadette Jestrabek-Hart

Confirmation No. 9870

Application Number: 10/601,917

Filed: 06-19-2003

For: Orthopedic Device Allows Kneeling without Contact to the Knee and Protects

Other Joints

Group Art Unit:

3743

Examiner: Amanda F. Wieker (nee Amanda R. Flynn)

Agent Docket No.: 3063

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313–1450

Sir:

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Responsive to the Office Action mailed October 19, 2004, please consider the following.

Claims 1-20 are in the application. Claims 1, 12 and 14 are in independent form. The claims stand subject to restriction under 35 USC §121. The Examiner requires restriction to one of the following species:

Species A, as shown in Figure 1A;

Species B, as shown in Figure 6A; or

Species C, as shown in Figure 6C.

Applicant provisionally elects, with traverse, to prosecute Species A. Applicant identifies

claims 1-8 and 14 as generic claims. In addition to the generic claims, Applicant identifies

independent claim 12, and dependent claims 9, 13 and 15-16 as relating to Species A.

Therefore, in summary, Applicant requests consideration for claims 1-9 and 12-16 as being

relevant to the election.

Applicant respectfully traverses the Examiner's restriction requirement for the reasons

discussed herein. The Examiner's division into Species A-C is based entirely on a selection of

Figures without reference to claims. Based upon this, Applicant infers that the restriction is

made in an attempt to separately classify the Applicant's single inventive concept into three

distinct embodiments based upon its usage related to three regions of the human body.

Applicant contends that since the apparatus of Species B is merely a scaled-down version of

Species A, and the apparatus of Species C amounts to a larger version of Species A,

restriction to a single species would leave the Applicant with no protection for alternate uses

of the claimed apparatus of the invention when restricted to Species A.

Furthermore, Applicant notes that the Examiner has not identified preliminary classifications

for Species A, B and C as being distinctly different each from the others. Also, Applicant has

herein identified nine of the 20 claims as generic, and points out that only six of the 20 claims

do not relate to Species A. Therefore, Applicant contends that the additional effort to

examine Species B and C in addition to the elected Species A should not present an excessive

burden to the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Ret A Frede

Robert A. Frohwerk, Registered Patent Agent #51707

551 Clearvue Drive Meridian, ID 83642-5213

Phone: (208) 888-7606; Fax: (208) 288-1734

2



In re application of:

Bernadette Jestrabek-Hart

Confirmation No. 9870

Application Number: 10/601,917

Filed: 06-19-2003

For: Orthopedic Device Allows Kneeling without Contact to the Knee and Protects

Other Joints

Group Art Unit:

3743

Examiner: Amanda F. Wieker (nee Amanda R. Flynn)

Agent Docket No.: 3063

This correspondence includes:

Response to Restriction Requirement (two pages); and postcard.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 CFR §1.8)

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and attachments, if any, is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to "Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313–1450" on the date below.

Date: Nov. 5, 2004

Person Mailing Correspondence: Robert A. Frohwerk,

Registered Patent Agent #51707

Signature: Relat A Falk